

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,584	08/06/2001	Toshihiko Aoki	110311	9672
25944 7:	590 10/07/2003		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALLAMIDICA	1, 111 22320		2877	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	De				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/921,584	AOKI, TOSHIHIKO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard A Rosenberger	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sh t with the correspondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE and this communication, even if timely file	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	is have been reasived					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/921,584

Art Unit: 2877

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sprague et al (US 4,409,479) in view of Matsuura (US 5,889,280) and Leuchter (US 4,339,198).

Sprague et al shows an optical displacement measuring apparatus with a scale (18) with on optical gratings in two orthogonal directions formed thereon and a sensor head (10) including a photosensitive unit for optically detecting relative movement between the sensor head and the scale to provide a displacement signal. Sprague et al shows in figure 2 the sensor comprising a substrate and two photosensitive arrays (15, 15' and 17, 17') arranged to provide displacement signals along two axes. It would have been obvious to use known constructions for the detector arrays such as the array of Matsuura.

Leuchter teaches that it is known to print a grid on a flexible substrate so it can be placed on a curved surface (column 1, lines 43-51), teaching that this produces a accurate curved grating. It would have been obvious to use this known manner of making curved detection systems by forming the elements on flexible substrates and curving them to meet the needs at hand in particular applications

Application/Control Number: 09/921,584

Art Unit: 2877

because, as taught by Leuchter, this can produce "extremely close tolerance ... while bypassing the extremely complex fabrication" of forming curved elements in place.

Those in the art could choose appropriate flexible materials.

The use of any known light source would have been obvious; electroluminiscent light sources are known light sources.

As shown in figures 5A and 5B of Sprague, the arrays can be on either side of a substrate.

3. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The fax number is (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. A. Rosenberger whose telephone number is (703) 308-4804.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

R. A. Rosenberger 24 September 2003

> Richard A. Rosenberger Primary Examiner